



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>
Office: (317) 232-2701
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

PETITION OF PSI ENERGY, INC. FOR)
A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN)
INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE)
2003 PSI INTEGRATED RESOURCE)
PLAN IS CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR)
PROPRIETARY PURSUANT TO)
I.C. 8-1-2-29, I.C. 5-14-3, AND)
170 IAC 1-1.1-4)

FILED

JUN 02 2004

CAUSE NO. 42531

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

You are hereby notified that on this date, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission has caused the following entry to be made:


On May 24, 2004, The Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC), filed its *Third Motion for Extension of Procedural Schedule* ("Motion"). The Motion requests a four (4) week extension of the procedural schedule in this cause.

The Presiding Officer, having reviewed the Motion and being duly advised in the premises hereby GRANTS the Motion and revises the procedural schedule in this matter as follows:

1. **Public's and Intervenors' Prefiling Date.** Public and all Intervenors should prefile with the Commission the prepared testimony and exhibits constituting their respective cases-in-chief on or before June 21, 2004. Copies of same should be served upon all parties of record.
2. **Petitioner's Rebuttal Prefiling.** The Petitioner should prefile with the Commission its prepared rebuttal testimony on or before July 16, 2004. Copies of same should be served upon all parties of record.
3. **Evidentiary Hearing on the Parties' Cases-In-Chief.** Due to the revised procedural schedule, the evidentiary hearing previously scheduled for June 24, 2004 is now continued to July 22, 2004, at 1:30 p.m., in Room E-306 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana. At such time, the direct evidence of the respective parties should be presented and their respective witnesses examined. Thereafter, Petitioner should present its prefiled rebuttal evidence as well as any additional evidence rebutting evidence adduced on cross-examination of Public's or Intervenors' witnesses.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

 For
Abby R. Gray, Administrative Law Judge

Date: June 2, 2004

Nancy E. Manley, Secretary to the Commission